



CETL RISE Scholarship Renewal

Research Advisory Council Rubric

Date/Quarter:

Student Name:

College:

Project Title:

RISE start date (Quarter, Year):

Anticipated graduation date:

Advisor Name:

	Yes/Strongly Met (3 Points)	No/Not Met (0 Points)	Comments	Score
The student provided clear and realistic goals and objectives.				
The necessary resources are available to the student related to the feasibility of the project.				
The student is adequately prepared for setbacks, obstacles, etc.				
The project is organized and well thought out. The planned deliverables are appropriate for the project.				
The student has provided meaningful and reasonable results.				
The student has gained additional skills, and/or the student has explored and/or realized ways to improve the project.				
I recommend that the student remain funded by a RISE scholarship because they have made adequate progress, as supported by the advisor form.				
Other comments				

<p>Advisory Council Member Name:</p> <p>Comments</p> <p> Strengths:</p> <p> Weaknesses/Suggested Improvements:</p> <p>OVERALL FINAL VOTE (Yes , No, Abstain (provide reason))</p>	<p>Total Score & Vote</p>
<p>Any application receiving a score of 13/18 (<70%) or less or deemed inconsistent with the mission of Life University WILL NOT be eligible for funding renewal.</p>	